

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/574,051	01/16/2007	Mathias Pillin	10191/4424	7719	
26646 KENYON & F	7590 05/27/200 KENYON LLP	EXAMINER			
ONE BROAD	WAY	AGGARWAL, YOGESH K			
NEW YORK,	NY 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2622		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/27/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/574,051	PILLIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
YOGESH K. AGGARWAL	2622	

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	l			
	YOGESH K. AGGARWAL	2622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REFL. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 11. after 50% (6) MONTHS from the mailing fade or the somewheat of 37 CFR 11. after 50% (6) MONTHS from the mailing fade or the somewheat of the som	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
,						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2006 is/are: a		•	r.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
3) Information Disclosure Statemont(s) (FTO/SE/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/29/2006.12/03/2008.	6) Other:	orom Application				

2008.

Ouler. _____.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,051 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005 http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/com/sol/og/2005/week47/og200547.htm), Annex IV, reads as follows:

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material". In this context, "functional descriptive material" consists of data structures and computer programs which impart functionally when employed as a computer component. (The definition of "data structure" is "a physicial or logical relationship among data elements, designed to support specific data manipulation functions." The New IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms 308 (5th ed. 1993). "Norfunctional descriptive material" includes but is not limited to music, literary works and a compilation or mere arrangement of data.

When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (claim to data structure stored on a computer readable medium that increases computer efficiency held statutory) and Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360-61, 31 USPQ2d at 1759 (claim to computer having a specific data structure stored in memory held statutory product-by-processe claim) with Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1759 (foliam to a data structure per se held nonstatutory).

In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-83-32 USPO2d at 1035.

2. Claim(s) 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claims 19 and 20 defines a computer medium having program code embodying functional descriptive material. However, the claim does not define a computer-readable medium or memory and is thus non-statutory for that reason (i.e., "When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized" -

Art Unit: 2622

Guidelines Annex IV). That is, the scope of the presently claimed recording medium having a computer medium can range from paper on which the program is written, to a program simply contemplated and memorized by a person.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nakanishi et al.
 (US Patent # 6,834,541).

[Claim 11]

Nakanishi teaches a system for testing control processes in a vehicle (figure 13), a component (pattern generator 52) for triggering the control process, a simulation model (simulation vehicle model) which responds to the control processes to be tested (pattern generator 52), an experiment software (pattern generator 6 provided in computer 6) being superimposed upon the simulation model (figure 12 shows 58, wherein a simulation model is superimposed), an arrangement for forming a signal pattern between the experiment software and the component for triggering the control process; an arrangement for dividing the signal pattern into at least two signals by at least two intervention points; and an arrangement for providing at least one identifier that enables the at least two signals to be assigned to the signal (figure 11).

[Claims 12 and 13]

Art Unit: 2622

The system as recited in Claim 1, wherein the intervention points are provided with identifiers (figure 11).

[Claim 14]

The system as recited in Claim 1, wherein the signals being created are assigned to different signal groups (figure 11).

[Claim 15]

The system as recited in Claim 4, wherein the different signal groups including the signals are represented optically (since the signals are represented on a screen, they are considered as being represented optically).

[Claim 16]

The system as recited in Claim 1, wherein the identifiers are variable and thus enable the signals to be assigned to different signal patterns (figure 11).

[Claim 17]

The system as recited in Claim 1, wherein a signal that replaces a signal can be input into the signal pattern at least one intervention point (figures 11 and 12).

[Claim 18-20]

These are method and computer-readable claims that correspond to apparatus claims. Therefore they are analyzed and rejected based upon claim I respectively.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YOGESH K. AGGARWAL whose telephone number is (571)272-7360. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM-5:30PM.

Art Unit: 2622

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on (571)-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Yogesh K Aggarwal/ Examiner, Art Unit 2622